UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,053	08/16/2006	Victor Evgenievich Zhitomirskiy	051862/313418	9605
826 ALSTON & BI	7590 06/02/201 RD LLP	EXAMINER		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			LEDYNH, BOT L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2858	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/598,053	ZHITOMIRSKIY, VICTOR EVGENIEVICH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bot L. LeDynh	2858				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ap	<u>oril 2010</u> .					
2a)☑ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	· · · <u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,3,5-22 and 26-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12,13,20 and 21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,8-9,16-19,22,26 and 29-31</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2,5-7,10,11,15,27 and 28</u> is/are object	ted to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive	u.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/21/10</u>. 	5) Notice of Informal P					

Application/Control Number: 10/598,053 Page 2

Art Unit: 2858

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 14 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 22. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 3. Claims 3, 8-9, 16-19, 22, 26 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spies (DE19621886) in view of either Lee et al (7482803) or Tapson (7321229). Spies (DE19621886) discloses substantially the same invention as claimed: A position encoder comprising: first 2 and second 1 members which are relatively movable along a measurement path; an excitation winding (4.1, 4.2,...) and a sensor winding (5.1, 5.2, 6.1,...), at least one of the excitation winding and the one or more sensor windings being which carried by the first member; a DC magnetic field generator 1 carried by the second member and operable to generate a DC magnetic field which varies with position along the measurement path;

a film of magnetizable material 3 which is located, in use, within said positionally varying

Application/Control Number: 10/598,053

Art Unit: 2858

DC magnetic field to cause the film to have a positionally varying magnetization state along the measurement path;

Page 3

wherein the excitation and sensor windings are arranged relative to said film so that a mutual electromagnetic coupling between them varies in dependence upon the positionally varying magnetization state of said film of magnetizable material, so that when said excitation winding is energized with an excitation signal, a sensor signal is generated in the one or more said sensor windings that varies with the relative position between said first and second members; an excitation circuit operable to generate an excitation signal having an excitation frequency for energizing the excitation winding to cause the excitation winding to generate an excitation electromagnetic field; a processing circuit (Fig.5) operable to process the sensor signal generated in the sensor winding in response to the energization of said excitation winding, to determine a value indicative of the relative position between the first and second relatively movable members; same frequency (58 and first sentence of the Abstract); excitation EM field being inherently perpendicular to film 3 due to the symmetry of the EM field of the windings; Permalloy (i.e., Ni-Fe) 3; as to claims 30-31, see Fig.4. However, Spies does not disclose determining a value of a ratiometric function indicative of the relative position between the first and second members. Either Lee et al (7482803) or Tapson (7321229) discloses the determination of a value of a ratiometric function indicative of the relative position between the first and second members in order to eliminate the effects of common factors such as excitation voltage, manufacturing variation (such as gap between a coil assembly and the coupler element), electrical noise, ambient or local conditions

Application/Control Number: 10/598,053 Page 4

Art Unit: 2858

such as temperature, or other factors. See Lee et al's col.1, lines 33-61; Tapson's col.2 in lines 1-8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Spies by determining a value of a ratiometric function indicative of the relative position between the first and second members in order to eliminate the effects of common factors such as excitation voltage, manufacturing variation (such as gap between a coil assembly and the coupler element), electrical noise, ambient or local conditions such as temperature, or other factors. See Lee et al's col.1, lines 33-61; Tapson's col.2 in lines 1-8.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 2, 5-7, 10-11, 15, 27-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 2. Although specific columns, figures, reference numerals, lines of the reference(s), etc. have been referred to, Applicant should consider the entire applied prior art reference(s).
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 4/21/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Spies does not disclose "one or more sensor windings which are at substantially the same frequency as said excitation frequency (emphases original)."
- 4. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Spies discloses substantially the same frequency by stating that "[b]y the application of the high frequency alternating field to the two excitation coils (4.1, 4.2) a **corresponding high frequency** alternating field constructed ... induced in the carrier body (3) (emphasis added). See partial English

Art Unit: 2858

translation, page 4. Other Applicant's arguments are considered moot in view of the new ground of rejection.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Bot LeDynh whose telephone number is 571-272-2231. The Examiner normally does not work on Fridays. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays according to a FlexiMax schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, PATRICK J. ASSOUAD, SPE, can be reached on (571)272-2210.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,053 Page 6

Art Unit: 2858

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786- 9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BL/ 2010

/Bot LeDynh/
Bot LeDynh
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2858